	Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 File	ed 08/22/17 Page 1 of 31
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LARRY KLAYMAN (D.C. BAR NO. 334581) FREEDOM WATCH, INC. 2020 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 345 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: 561.558.5536 Email: leklayman@gmail.com <i>Pro Hac Vice to be Filed</i> MICHAEL D. KOLODZI (CAL. BAR NO. 255772) 433 North Camden Drive, Suite 600 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone: 310.279.5212 Facsimile: 866.571.6094 Email: mdk@mdklawfirm.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> <i>KIARA ROBLES</i>	
11		S DISTRICT COURT
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	RICI OF CALIFORNIA
14	KIARA ROBLES, an individual Oakland, CA	Case No.: 17-4864
15	Plaintiff,	
16	V.	
17	IN THE NAME OF HUMANITY, WE REFUSE TO ACCEPT A FASCIST AMERICA (a.k.a.	COMPLAINT
18	ANTIFA) San Francisco, CA	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
19	and	
20	IAN DABNEY MILLER, an individual and	
21	member of ANTIFA Oakland, CA	
22	and	
23	RAHA MIRABDAL a.k.a. Shadi Banoo, an individual and member of ANTIFA	
24 25	Berkeley, CA	
25 26	and	
26 27	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY	
27	Oakland, CA	
20	And	
	1	

I

	Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 2 of 31
1	
2	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA POLICE DEPARTMENT
3	Berkeley, CA
4	and
5	CITY OF BERKELEY Berkeley, CA
6	and
7	and
8	JOHN DOES 1-20, et al.
9	Defendants.
10	

11 I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Kiara Robles ("Plaintiff" and/or "Robles") brings this complaint against
Defendant In the Name of Humanity, We REFUSE to Accept a Fascist America ("ANTIFA"),
Defendant Ian Dabney Miller ("Miller"), Defendant Raha Mirabdal ("Mirabdal"), Defendant The
Regents of the University of California (the "Regents"), Defendant University of California
Police Department ("UCPD"), Defendant City of Berkeley ("Berkeley Police Department" or
"BPD")¹, and Defendant John Does 1-10. (collectively, "Defendants", unless individually
named).

19 ANTIFA and the University of California Berkeley ("UC Berkeley"), under the 20 leadership of and at the direction of the Regents, have acted to unconstitutionally curtail the First 21 Amendment rights of its students and invitees thereof. Acting in concert with ANTIFA, the 22 Regents - along with each and every other named Defendant - have subjected UC Berkeley 23 students and invitees who do not subscribe to the radical, left wing philosophies sanctioned by 24 Defendants to severe violence and bodily harm for merely expressing a differing viewpoint and 25 sexual preference, in clear contravention of their rights under the First Amendment to the U.S. 26 Constitution. Members of ANTIFA, including Miller and Mirabdal, assaulted and battered

- 27
- 28

¹ City of Berkeley is being sued for the actions of the Berkeley Police Department

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 3 of 31

1 Plaintiff Robles, putting her in fear of her life and grave bodily injury.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 Plaintiff Robles is a resident of Oakland, California. On February 1, 2017, Plaintiff 3 Robles planned to attend a speech by Milo Yiannopoulos, a media personality and political 4 commentator, hosted on the UC Berkeley campus. On the day of Milo Yiannopoulos' speech, 5 however, over 1,500 "protestors" gathered at UC Berkeley's Sproul Plaza and the "protestors" erupted into violence just fifteen minutes after Plaintiff Robles' arrival onto the UC Berkeley 6 7 campus. The violence was orchestrated by ANTIFA and its members, in an effort to disrupt the 8 Milo Yiannopoulous event. Several people, including Plaintiff Robles, were intentionally and 9 violently attacked by both masked and unmasked defendant assailants, including Miller and 10 Mirabdal, and the UC Berkeley campus incurred over \$100,000 worth of damage. Plaintiff 11 Robles was attacked with extremely painful pepper spray and bear mace by masked assailants 12 amongst the "protestors" because she chose to exercise her right to freedom of speech and show 13 support for the planned speaker, Milo Yiannopoulos.



At the time that Plaintiff Robles was attacked, despite the fact that the "protestors" had erupted into violence, there was shockingly no campus police present near Plaintiff Robles. Instead, nearly 100 campus police and SWAT members waited in the Student Union building, within eyesight of the violence happening outside, watching the protestors become more belligerent and dangerous.



Thus, the attackers escaped and there was no one to immediately aid Plaintiff Robles 11 after she was intentionally and violently sprayed in the face with bear mace. It was the 12 intentional and conscious decision and direction of the Regents-in concert with each and every 13 named Defendant, jointly and severally-to withhold effective police protection for politically 14 conservative attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event. Furthermore, Defendants-in concert 15 with each and every named Defendant, jointly and severally-chose to withhold police 16 protection at the Milo Yiannopolous event because Milo Yiannopolous and a large number of his 17 supporters, including Plaintiff Robles, are gay. 18

ANTIFA is a radical American, left wing, anti-Trump, non-profit organization that organizes demonstrations to achieve its political agenda.² ANTIFA is known for using violence and inciting violence to achieve their objectives. Recently, a petition to label ANTIFA as a terrorist organization reached 146,000 signatures.³ The twitter account for San Francisco ANTIFA has made such statements as, "There's no better feeling than an #Antifa comrade helping you sucker punch people because doing it one on one can be scary!"⁴ and "The Nazis

25

26

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

² Rick Moran, *Soros-Funded Group Gave 50K to Goons Who Provoked Berkeley Riot*, PJ Media, February 4, 2017, available at: https://pjmedia.com/trending/2017/02/04/soros-funded-group-gave-50k-to-goons-who-provoked-berkley-riot/.

³ Petition to Label Antifa Terror Group Has 146,000 Signatures So Far, Fox News Insider, April 21, 2017, available at: http://insider.foxnews.com/2017/08/21/petition-label-antifa-terror-group-has-146000-signatures.

⁴ @SFAntifa "There's no better feeling than an #Antifa comrade helping you sucker punch people because

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 5 of 31

1 found our comrade who hit a Trump supporter in the head with a U-lock"⁵, referring to an 2 incident where an ANTIFA protestor was charged with assault with a deadly weapon at a 3 Berkeley free speech rally. The San Francisco ANTIFA twitter account has also retweeted videos of ANTIFA members throwing explosives⁶ and violently kicking public property⁷ during a 4 5 Berkeley protest. ANTIFA organized the shut down of the Milo Yiannopoulos event, calling for 6 its members to use "righteous" violence against "fascist" Yiannopoulos.⁸ After the UC Berkeley 7 riot, ANTIFA commented on its website that it supported the violence that occurred, stating, 8 "[f]or all these reasons what happened at UC Berkeley is part of the kind of broad, powerful and 9 meaningful protest which needs to continue on an unprecedented scale to OUST this regime from power."9 ANTIFA is responsible for the orchestration of the riots that occurred during the 10 Milo Yiannopoulos event¹⁰ and ANTIFA members appeared at the protest to assault peaceful 11 12 pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopolous supporters and vandalize UC Berkeley and private 13 property.

UC Berkeley regularly provides police protection for politically charged events and protests on its campus without incident. But on this day, the Regents—in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and severally—willfully withheld their police manpower from protecting students and event attendees because the speaker and his supporters went against the

18

^{doing it one on one can be scary!" Twitter, June 16, 2017, 10:54 AM.,} https://twitter.com/SFAntifa/status/875773489638031361.

⁵ @SFAntifa "The Nazis found our comrade who hit a Trump supporter in the head with a U-lock.
https://myspace.com/ericclanton/photos ... plz don't share." Twitter, April 19, 2017, 9:03 PM., https://twitter.com/SFAntifa/status/854908432922558464.

⁶ @SFAntifa "Slow Motion Version of Antifa throwing explosive at Berkeley. Don't share this or it could ruin Antifa's good name." Twitter, April 21, 2017, 9:14 AM.,

²³ https://twitter.com/BevHillsAntifa/status/855454648475410435.

 ⁷ @SFAntifa "Pre peaceful #Antifa leg stretches before we peacefully protest at #Berkeley!" Twitter, May 4, 2017, 10:30 AM., https://twitter.com/walstreetAntifa/status/860184979975700480
 ⁸ Id.

 ⁹ Three Points on the Righteous Shut-Down of Fascist Milo Yiannopoulos at UC Berkeley, Refuse Fascism,
 February 2, 2017, available at: https://refusefascism.org/2017/02/02/three-points-on-the-righteous-shut-down-of-fascist-milo-yiannopoulos-at-uc-berkeley/.

 ^{27 &}lt;sup>10</sup> Chuck Ross, *Look Who Funds The Group Behind The Call To Arms At Milo's Berkeley Event*, The Daily Caller, February 3, 2017, available at: http://dailycaller.com/2017/02/03/look-who-funds-the-group-behind-the-call-to-arms-at-milos-berkeley-event/.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 6 of 31

1 political beliefs of the majority of UC Berkeley's students and its administration.¹¹ Furthermore, 2 the Regents— in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and severally— also 3 intentionally withheld proper security for the Milo Yiannopoulos event because Milo Yiannopoulos himself is gay and a large number of his supporters identify as gay. The Regents-4 5 in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and severally-acting under color of 6 state law, intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff Robles as a gay individual and a female 7 individual—a member of an identifiable class— in violation of Plaintiff Robles' constitutional 8 rights. In doing so, the Regents—in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and 9 severally-failed to enforce UC Berkeley's Non-Discrimination Policy, which prohibits sexual 10 orientation discrimination of individuals and discrimination based on gender while they are on 11 University property.¹²

Indeed, students at UC Berkeley who, like Plaintiff Robles, happen to possess 12 13 conservative viewpoints have regularly been targeted, and the Regents regularly "turn a blind 14 eye" to such unconstitutional conduct. One individual articulated that, "[p]eople feel like 15 Republicans don't have a home here, and it's a little bit intimidating to have people walk by and 16 want to yell at you or denounce your beliefs, simply because you're sitting out there identifying 17 as a Republican [...] there's a complete lack of tolerance for an idea that any member of the Berkeley community could hold the beliefs that we do".¹³ Republican students receive online 18 19 threats and hear from other students that "[t]hey're going to come after us, we don't belong on this campus, we don't have a place on this campus."¹⁴ Specifically, choosing to openly support 20 President Trump "kind of puts a target on your head."15 A majority of the Berkeley College 21

22

¹¹ Ian Hanchett, CNN Report: Berkeley Republicans Insulted for Supporting Trump, Campus 'Uncomfortable'
 With Dissenting Opinions, Breitbart, September 7, 2016, available at:

¹² UC Berkeley Nondiscrimination Policy Statement—Student related matters, available at:

27 14 *Id*.

28 ¹⁵ *Id*.

http://www.breitbart.com/video/2016/09/07/cnn-report-berkeley-republicans-insulted-for-supporting-trump-campus-24 uncomfortable-with-dissenting-opinions/.

²⁵ http://sa.berkeley.edu/nondiscrimination; University of California Policy Policies Applying to Campus Activities, Organizations and Students 10.00: Preamble and General Provisions, available at:

²⁶ http://policy.ucop.edu/doc/2710517/PACAOS-10.

¹³ Hanchett, *supra* note 1

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 7 of 31

Republicans, the school group that organized the Milo Yiannopoulos event, feel "reluctant to
 share their political beliefs on campus."¹⁶ CNN anchor Kyung Lah noted "[t]he irony runs thick
 to these students, a place that forged free speech on campus, uncomfortable with political speech
 with which they disagree."¹⁷

Furthermore, during a meeting of the Berkeley College Republicans in 2016, a group of 5 6 protestors confronted their club table and "proceeded to snatch and attempt to rip up [their] 7 Donald Trump cut-out [...] the group of protestors then circled [them] and began yelling slurs at 8 [them] in reference to Donald Trump. Slurs such as 'racists,' 'bigots,' and 'pieces of shit' were yelled out by the protestors"¹⁸ A Berkeley College Republican member was physically assaulted 9 by a protestor while attempting to film the incident.¹⁹ Witnesses to the event complained that the 10 11 campus police officers on the scene were otherwise unhelpful, saying that they merely "sat around" while the group was attacked.²⁰ The student that destroyed the cutout of President 12 Trump boasted "yeah I did it [...] it will happen again [...] there's just four of you all [College 13 Republicans] and we will come back with 1,000 of us."²¹ The offending student admitted this in 14 front of campus police but no action was taken against him.²² The sentiment among the Berkeley 15 16 College Republicans is that if they had done the same to other political clubs, "there is no doubt 17 that we would have been escorted off campus, but nothing will happen to the people who interrupted us today."23 After the incident, one Berkeley College Republican member said, "I 18 19 now know that UC Berkeley—the birthplace of the Free Speech Movement—is not a place I can 20 safely exercise my constitutionally protected right to free speech."²⁴ UC Berkeley clearly gives

- 21
- 22
- ¹⁶ Id. ¹⁷ Id.

- 25 19 Id.
 - 20 Id.
- 26 21 *Id.*
- $\begin{array}{c} 22 Id. \\ 23 Id. \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{c} 2^{3} Id. \\ 2^{4} Id. \end{array}$
- 28

 ¹⁸ Stephen Frank, UC Administrators Allow Violence Against Republicans on UC Berkeley Campus, California
 Political Review, September 9, 2016, available at: http://www.capoliticalreview.com/capoliticalnewsandviews/ucadministrators-allow-violence-against-republicans-on-uc-berkeley-campus/.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 8 of 31

preferential treatment and protection to those students and student organizations that represent political ideals that fall in line with those held by its own liberal administration, including but not limited to Defendants. The Regents' intentional and conscious decision to withhold effective police protection for Pro-Republican attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos—in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and severally— reflects their bias and put its students and invitees in grave danger.

7 UC Berkeley is no stranger to incidents of violent protest and was required to provide 8 effective police protection in anticipation of the high probability that the Milo Yiannopoulos 9 protest would lead to dangerous physical altercations. In fact, one of the most famous violent 10 student protests in U.S. history took place at UC Berkeley's campus. On May 15, 1969, over 11 3,000 students protested at UC Berkeley over city plans to turn a vacant lot near campus into a 12 parking lot. On that day, known as Bloody Thursday, three students suffered punctured lungs, 13 another a shattered leg, several people were hospitalized with shotgun wounds, and one police officer was stabbed.²⁵ At least 128 Berkeley residents were admitted to local hospitals for injuries 14 sustained during the riot.²⁶ Alan Blanchard was permanently blinded by a gunshot wound to his 15 16 face.²⁷ James Rector, who was watching the riot from a rooftop, was shot by police gunfire; he died four days later.²⁸ A state of emergency was declared by the governor and the late President 17 Ronald Reagan and 2,200 National Guard troops were sent in to restore order.²⁹ Over the next 18 19 several days, police and troops arrested nearly 1,000 people, including 200 for felonies, while 20 500 were booked at the local jail.³⁰ More recently, in 2011, violence erupted between campus 21 police and students that gathered in UC Berkeley's Sproul Plaza to protest for the Occupy

22

- ²⁶ People's Park (Berkeley), Wikipedia, available at
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/People's_Park_(Berkeley)#cite_note-time1970-24
- 26 ²⁷ *Id.*
 - ²⁸ Chris Enloe *supra* note 23.
- 27 29 *Id.*
- 28 ³⁰ *Id.*

 ²⁵ Chris Enloe, See how Ronald Reagan shut down violent UC Berkeley protesters in 1969 when he was Calif.
 Governor, The Blaze, Feb. 5, 2017, available at: http://www.theblaze.com/news/2017/02/05/see-how-ronald-reagan-shut-down-violent-uc-berkeley-protesters-in-1969-when-he-was-calif-governor/

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 9 of 31

1 movement³¹. UC Berkeley students have a history in participating in some of the city's worst 2 riots and violent protests—among them, the 2014 protest of the death of Eric Garner, which resulted in looting, vandalism, arson, and assault on Berkeley police officers.³² 3

Thus, Defendants the Regents, UCPD, and BPD should have been fully prepared for 4 5 violence to break out at the Milo Yiannopoulos event. In fact, two weeks before the UC Berkeley 6 riot, a man was shot outside a University of Washington hall prior to another planned Milo Yiannopoulos speaking engagement.³³ As was true at the UC Berkeley event, masked protestors 7 8 in Washington threw bricks at police and were armed with weapons, which they used to assault 9 members of the crowd.³⁴ Another planned speaking engagement by Milo Yiannopoulos at UC 10 Berkeley's sister school, UC Davis, was cancelled after school groups and university police determined that it was unsafe to continue the event amidst the chaotic protests that broke out.³⁵ 11 12 Defendants knew for weeks that Yiannopoulos' appearance could prompt violent protests that 13 would threaten the school's long tradition of facilitating free speech. Defendants should have 14 reasonably anticipated a violent response to Milo Yiannopoulos' presence on their campus and 15 acted accordingly by providing effective police protection to those attending the event. The 16 school's inaction was motivated by the fact that Milo Yiannopoulos and his supporters have 17 opposing viewpoints to the majority of the school's students and administration. Nicholas Dirks, the former Chancellor of UC Berkeley, has called Milo Yiannopoulos "a troll and provocateur 18

- 19
- 20

³¹ Carly Schwartz, Occupy U.C. Berkeley Protesters Face Violent Confrontation With Campus Police, The Huffington Post, January 10, 2011, available at: http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/11/10/occupy-uc-berkeley-22 police n 1086195.html.

²³ ³² Jessie Lau and Melissa Wen, *Police fire tear gas at hundreds of protesters, demonstration disperses at about* 3 a.m., December 7, 2014, available at: http://www.dailycal.org/2014/12/07/police-fire-tear-gas-protesters-berkeley-24 demonstration-disperses-3-m/.

³³ Rick Anderson, Man shot before Breitbart editor Milo Yiannopoulos' speaking event is in critical condition, 25 Los Angeles Times, January 21, 2017, available at: http://www.latimes.com/nation/la-na-viannopoulos-shooting-20170121-story.html. 26

 $^{^{34}}$ Id.

³⁵ Ben Poston and Shelby Grad, UC protests shut down Milo Yiannopoulos talk, sparking free speech debate, 27 Los Angeles Times, January 15, 2017, available at: http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-milo-yiannopoulosuc-davis-20170115-story.html. 28

who uses odious behavior in part to 'entertain,' but also to deflect any serious engagement with
 ideas."³⁶

3 Furthermore, given the current political climate in the United States, Defendants the 4 Regents, UCPD, and BPD should have foreseen that the protest of a controversial Republican 5 political figure such as Milo Yiannopoulos could likely turn violent. Since the 2016 presidential 6 election, there have been several incidents where President Trump supporters have been the 7 target of vicious protestors. Recently, in Seattle, Washington, furthered by the actions of 8 President Obama, his surrogates, agents and co-conspirators, a Black Lives Matter activist ranted 9 to a large crowd gathered in protest of President Trump's Executive Order Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, explicitly saying that "...we need to start killing 10 people."³⁷ She went on to expressly threaten and incite death to President Donald Trump, saying, 11 12 "First off, we need to start killing the White House. The White House must die. The White 13 House, your fucking White House, your fucking Presidents, they must go! Fuck the White 14 House." ³⁸Recently, protests have taken place at U.S. airports after President Trump issued his 15 recent Executive Order Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States. There have 16 been other instances where President Trump supporters were violently attacked by those 17 protesting the president's policies.³⁹

Defendants the Regents, UCPD, and BPD could have easily foreseen that an event where
Milo Yiannopoulos was scheduled to speak would draw a large vehement crowd of protestors
that had strong opposing opinions to the groups present at that speech. Based on the recent
frequency of violence associated with political protest in the United States, Defendants the
Regents, UCPD, and BPD, were, at a minimum, grossly negligent—if not intentionally

23

³⁶ Patrick May, *UC Berkeley riot raises questions about free speech*, The Mercury News, February 2, 2017, available at: http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/02/02/uc-berkeley-riot-raise-questions-about-free-speech/.

 ³⁷ Justin Caruso, *BLM Anti-Trump Protest in Seattle: 'We Need to Start Killing People'*, Daily Caller, Jan. 30, 2017, available at: http://dailycaller.com/2017/01/30/blm-anti-trump-protest-in-seattle-we-need-to-start-killing-people/.
 38 x 1

³⁸ Id.

 ³⁹ Stephens, Chase, Video Shows Trump Supporter Knocked Out And Taunted By Violent Portland Airport Protesters, available at: http://www.dailywire.com/news/12947/video-shows-trump-supporter-knocked-out-andchase-stephens#.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 11 of 31

1	negligent— by hiding behind glass windows in order to further the protestors' politically	
2	motivated agenda- in failing to provide effective police protection for the crowds present at one	
3	of their own events. Defendants the Regents, UCPD, and BPD's actions were a callous and	
4	blatant disregard for the safety of the crowd that came to hear Milo Yiannopolous speak.	
5	Defendant the Regents have carried out a pattern and practice of denying the First Amendment	
6	rights of students and invitees who share a different viewpoint from their own radical, leftist	
7	views.	
8	Thus, although Sproul Plaza was the birthplace of what came to be known as the Free	
9	Speech Movement in 1964, regrettably, in 2017 it has become "its final resting place." ⁴⁰	
10		
11	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
12	1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
13	1331 (Federal Question Jurisdiction).	
14	2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
15	1367.	
16	3. Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), (3) in	
17	that Defendants reside here and are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.	
18	III. PARTIES	
19	4. Plaintiff Kiara Robles ("Plaintiff Robles") is an individual, a natural person, who	
20	at all material times was a citizen and resident of California.	
21	5. Defendant the Regents of the University of California (the "Regents") is	
22	organized under the laws of the State of California, and entrusted with the responsibility of	
23	providing public education to students. The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley is	
24	an organization acting under state authority, as the term is utilized in 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which	
25	classifies Civil Actions for Deprivation of Rights.	
26	ensemble ertificiente for Depittution of regnes.	
27	⁴⁰ Martin Longman, UC Berkeley and the Frayed Free Speech Movement, Washington Monthly, February 2,	
28	2017, available at: http://washingtonmonthly.com/2017/02/02/uc-berkeley-and-the-frayed-free-speech-movement/.	

1 6. At all relevant times, Defendant the Regents has been and are now officers of the 2 State of California. Their actions described below constitute "state action" as that term has been 3 defined by relevant case law, and they acted under the color of state law, in doing the things 4 alleged herein.

7. 5 At all relevant times, Defendant the Regents has been and is now an agency of the 6 state government vested with authority to control, manage and administer the public facilities 7 within the University of California, Berkeley, located within Alameda County.

- 8 8. At all relevant times, Defendants the University of California Police Department 9 and Berkeley Police Department have been and are now empowered by the Defendant the 10 Regents to implement the policies that govern the conduct of persons affected and utilizing the 11 University of California, Berkeley, Irvine, San Diego, Los Angeles, and other campuses.
- 12 9. At all relevant times, Defendants the University of California Police Department 13 and Berkeley Police Department have been and are now the agent or employee of Defendant the 14 Regents and each was acting within the course and scope of such agency or employment.
- 15 10. Defendant University of California Police Department ("UCPD") is organized 16 under the laws of the State of California, and entrusted with the responsibility of providing safety 17 to students and invitees. UCPD is an organization acting under state authority, as the term is 18 utilized in 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which classifies Civil Actions for Deprivation of Rights.

19 Defendant City of Berkeley ("Berkeley Police Department" or "BPD") is 11. 20 organized under the laws of the State of California, and entrusted with the responsibility of 21 providing public safety. BPD is an organization acting under state authority, as the term is 22 utilized in 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which classifies Civil Actions for Deprivation of Rights.

23

12. Defendant In the Name of Humanity, We REFUSE to Accept a Fascist America 24 ("ANTIFA") is a radical American, left wing, anti-Trump, non-profit organization funded by 25 George Soros that organizes demonstrations and litigation to achieve its political agenda.

26 13. Defendant Ian Dabney Miller ("Miller") is a former (and possibly current) UC 27 Berkeley employee that protested the UC Berkeley Milo Yiannopolous event. On information

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 13 of 31

and belief, Defendant Miller is a member of the non-profit organization ANTIFA. He is being
 sued in his personal capacity and in his official capacity, where applicable.

3 14. Defendant Raha Mirabdal ("Mirabdal") is a former UC Davis employee that
4 protested the UC Berkeley Milo Yiannopolous event. On information and belief, Defendant
5 Mirabdal is a member of the non-profit organization ANTIFA. She is being sued in her personal
6 capacity.

- 7
- 8 IV. STANDING

9 15. Plaintiff Robles has standing to bring this action because she has been directly
 10 affected and victimized by the unlawful conduct complained herein. Her injuries are proximately
 11 related to the conduct of Defendants, jointly and severally.

- 12
- 13 **V. FACTS**
- 14

Defendants Worked in Concert to Deprive Plaintiff of Her First Amendment Rights

15 16. Defendants—in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and
16 severally—have worked in concert to deny numerous individuals who attended the Milo
17 Yiannopolous event, including Plaintiff Robles, their constitutional right to freedom of speech
18 and freedom of assembly, as guaranteed by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

19 17. The Regents intentionally withheld police protection of the UCPD and BPD for
20 Plaintiff Robles, despite the fact that it was, at a minimum, reasonably foreseeable that the Milo
21 Yiannopolous event would erupt in violence from "protestors."

22 18. ANTIFA is known for using violence and inciting violence to achieve their23 objectives.

24 19. ANTIFA has threated, encouraged violence, and committed violent acts against
25 Plaintiff Robles and other peaceful protestors like her that share political views that ANTIFA
26 does not agree with.

27 20. The twitter account for San Francisco ANTIFA has made such statements as,
28 "There's no better feeling than an #Antifa comrade helping you sucker punch people because

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 14 of 31

doing it one on one can be scary!"⁴¹ and "The Nazis found our comrade who hit a Trump
 supporter in the head with a U-lock"⁴², referring to an incident where an ANTIFA protestor was
 charged with assault with a deadly weapon at a Berkeley free speech rally .

4 21. The San Francisco ANTIFA twitter account has also retweeted videos of
5 ANTIFA members throwing explosives⁴³ and violently kicking public property⁴⁴ during a
6 Berkeley protest.

7 22. On information and belief, ANTIFA organized the violent shutdown of the Milo
8 Yiannopoulos event. On information and belief, members of ANTIFA, including Defendants
9 Miller and Arabdal, rioted at the Milo Yiannopolous event and viciously attacked attendees,
10 including Plaintiff Robles, because of their political beliefs, sex, and sexual preference, such as
11 Plaintiff Kiara Robles'. On information and belief, ANTIFA acted under the direction of, and in
12 concert with, each and every named Defendant jointly and severally.

13 23. On information and belief, ANTIFA receives funds from umbrella companies and
14 subsidiaries of Soros' Open Society Foundation, a network of foundations, partners, and projects
15 that funds Soros' political interests.

16 24. The group ANTIFA is sponsored by Alliance for Global Justice ("AGJ").⁴⁵ Soros
17 is a major backer of AGJ, as AGJ receives grants from Soros' foundation, the Open Society
18 Institute.⁴⁶ In addition, the Tides Foundation, a non-profit funded by Soros, contributes money to
19 AGJ.⁴⁷

20 25. UCPD and BPD, at the direction of the Regents, chose to withhold their aid to
21 attendees of the Milo Yiannopolous event—in concert with each and every named Defendant,
22 jointly and severally—including Plaintiff Robles, despite the fact that they could see attendees

23

26

- ⁴¹ @SFAntifa, *supra* note 2.
- $\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 4^2 @SFAntifa, supra note 3. \end{array}$
- $25 \qquad {}^{43} @SFAntifa, supra note 4.$
 - ⁴⁴ @SFAntifa, *supra* note 5.
 - ⁴⁵ https://refusefascism.org/donate/
- 27 ⁴⁶ *The Alliance for Global* Justice, Group Snoop, available at:
 - http://www.groupsnoop.org/The+Alliance+for+Global+Justice.
 - ⁴⁷ Chuck Ross, *supra* note 8.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 15 of 31

being viciously attacked by "protestors." During the Milo Yiannopoulos riots, UC Berkeley
 police and the Berkeley Police Department did not to intervene while pro-President Trump/pro Milo Yiannopolous supporters were being violently attacked. ⁴⁸

4 26. As a result of each and every Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff Robles was deprived
5 of her constitutional right to freedom of speech and freedom of assembly in support of Milo
6 Yiannopolous and discriminated against based on sex and sexual preference.

7

8

Defendants Reasonably Foresaw That Violence Would Erupt at the Milo Yiannopolous Event

9 27. The Regents, at a minimum, reasonably foresaw that violence would erupt at the
10 Milo Yiannopolous event, as UC Berkeley is no stranger to violent protest.

11 28. For instance, on May 15, 1969, known as "Bloody Thursday", UC Berkeley was
12 home to one of the most violent student protests in U.S. History.⁴⁹ At least 128 Berkeley
13 residents were hospitalized for injuries sustained during the riot. Among the injuries were a
14 police officer suffering a stab wound, an individual being permanently blinded, and another
15 individual being killed.

16 29. In 2011, violence erupted in UC Berkeley between campus police and students
 17 that gathered in UC Berkeley's Sproul Plaza to protest for the Occupy movement. ⁵⁰

30. UC Berkeley students further have a history of participating in some of the city's
worst riots and violent protests—among them, the 2014 protest of the death of Eric Garner,
which resulted in looting, vandalism, arson, and assault on Berkeley police officers.⁵¹

21 31. Yet, UC Berkeley has hosted countless politically-charged rallies and protests in
22 the past, and the Regents have provided effective police presence without incident.

23

32. UC Berkeley's Republicans have reported harassment and assaults by other UC Berkeley students with differing political opinions.⁵²

25

26

27

28

24

⁴⁸ *Id.*⁴⁹ Chris Enloe, *supra* note 15.

⁵⁰ Carly Schwartz, *supra* note 29.

⁵¹ Jessie Lau and Melissa Wen, *supra* note 30.

⁵² Stephen Frank, *supra* note 16.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 16 of 31

33. For example, the UC Berkeley registered student organization, Berkeley College
 Republicans, have reported prior incidents where campus police officers merely "sat around"
 while the group was verbally and physically attacked.⁵³

4 34. Given the current political climate in the United States, the Regents should have
5 foreseen that the protest of a controversial conservative political figure such as Milo
6 Yiannopoulos would likely turn violent.

7 35. Since the 2016 presidential election, there have been several incidents where
8 President Trump supporters have been the target of vicious protestors.

9 36. Recently, in Seattle, a Black Lives Matter activist ranted to a large crowd
gathered in protest of the Executive Order Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United
States, explicitly saying that "...we need to start killing people."⁵⁴ She went on to expressly
threaten and incite death to President Donald Trump, saying, "First off, we need to start killing
the White House. The White House must die. The White House, your fucking White House,
your fucking Presidents, they must go! Fuck the White House."⁵⁵

15 37. Recently, there have been protests at U.S. airports where President Trump
 16 supporters were violently attacked by those protesting the President's immigration policies.⁵⁶

38. Furthermore, the Regents, UCPD and BPD should have been fully prepared for
violence to break out at the Milo Yiannopoulos event based on assaults that have occurred at
recent Milo Yiannopoulos speaking engagements.

39. On January 13, 2017, chaotic protests preceding a Milo Yiannopoulos speaking
event at UC Davis led to the cancellation of the speech after school groups and university police
determined that it was unsafe to continue the event.⁵⁷

23

40. On January 20, 2017, a man was shot outside a University of Washington hall prior to another planned Milo Yiannopoulos speaking engagement.⁵⁸

25

26

28

24

⁵⁴ Justin Caruso, *supra* note 35.

 $\begin{array}{c} 55 \ Id. \\ 56 \ Cl \end{array}$

⁵³ Id.

⁵⁶ Chase Stephens, *supra* note 37.

⁵⁷ Ben Poston and Shelby Grad, *supra* note 33.

1 41. During the University of Washington event, masked protestors assaulted both 2 police and civilians with weapons.⁵⁹

3 42. Despite all of this, the Regents intentionally withheld police support—in concert 4 with each and every named Defendant—at the Milo Yiannopoulos event that Plaintiff Robles 5 attended, as Milo Yiannopoulos's conservative viewpoint conflicts with the radical, leftist 6 viewpoint shared by the Regents and the majority of the UC Berkeley student body and 7 administration.

8

Facts Pertaining Directly to Plaintiff Robles' Injuries

9 43. On February 1, 2017, Plaintiff Robles planned to attend a speech by Milo 10 Yiannopoulos, a media personality and political commentator, hosted on UC Berkeley campus.

11 44. Mr. Yiannopoulos was invited to speak by the Berkeley College Republicans, 12 which is a UC Berkeley Registered Student Organization ("RSO").

13 45. Plaintiff Robles purchased a ticket to the event and the proceeds of the ticket sale 14 went to the Berkeley College Republicans.

15 46. By purchasing a ticket to an event organized by a UC Berkeley RSO and 16 approved by UC Berkeley, Plaintiff Robles became an invitee of UC Berkeley. Indeed, UC 17 Berkeley, as the inviter, owed a duty to Plaintiff Robles to provide a safe premise for their event.

18 47. Over 1,500 "protestors" gathered at Sproul Plaza to picket the event. Several 19 people were intentionally and violently attacked by both masked and unmasked assailants, and 20 the UC Berkeley campus incurred over \$100,000 worth of damage.

21 48. The violence was orchestrated by Defendant ANTIFA and its members, in an 22 effort to disrupt the Milo Yiannopoulous event.

Plaintiff Robles was being interviewed by news station KGO-TV about her

23 24 thoughts on freedom of speech versus "hate speech" when she was approached by several

25

- 26
- 27

⁵⁸ Rick Anderson, *supra* note 31. ⁵⁹ Id.

49.

28

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 18 of 31

protestors that began to yell that she was a "fascist." The "protestors" surrounded Plaintiff
 Robles combatively.

50. Soon thereafter, an unknown assailant sprayed Plaintiff Robles in the face with
painful pepper spray. Shortly after Plaintiff Robles was sprayed with pepper spray, Plaintiff
Robles was again sprayed in the face by an unknown assailant with bear mace, which contains a
much higher concentration of harmful substances than standard pepper spray.

7 51. On information and belief, the unknown assailants who sprayed Plaintiff Robles
8 in the face with pepper spray and bear mace were members of Defendant ANTIFA, and carried
9 out the battery on Plaintiff Robles at the direction of ANTIFA and in concert with each and
10 every Defendant.

S2. At the time of this attack, there were no police officers close enough to Plaintiff
Robles to protect her from her assaulter. As a result, the attackers escaped and there was no one
to immediately aid Plaintiff Robles.

14 53. Instead, witnesses saw nearly 100 police officers and SWAT officers waiting
15 inside the Student Union Building while the attacks occurred, watching the protestors turn
16 violent through a glass window.

17 54. UCPD and BPD could see the attacks occurring outside while they waited in the
18 Student Union building, yet did not act to protect any of the victims, including Plaintiff Robles.

19 55. Soon after the first incident, Plaintiff Robles and others were again physically
20 attacked by protestors. Miller, among others, struck Plaintiff Robles in the face and body with
21 flagpoles until Plaintiff Robles was forced to escape by jumping over a metal barrier.

22

56. Miller is a former, and possibly current, UC Berkeley employee.⁶⁰

57. Miller is a member of a radical American, left wing, anti-Trump, non-profit
organization funded by George Soros, ANTIFA, and carried out the battery on Plaintiff Robles at
the direction of ANTIFA and in concert with each and every Defendant

26

⁶⁰ University of California Data Analysis - Browse UC Salary Data, available at:

²⁸ http://ucpay.globl.org/index.php?campus=BERKELEY&name=MILLER+_+IAN+DABNEY

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 19 of 31

1	58. Miller admitted that he was present at the Milo Yiannopoulos event in an	1	
2	interview with the New York Daily News. ⁶¹		
3	59. Miller wore a mask to hide his identity and wielded a wooden weapon during the)	
4	Milo Yiannopoulos event. ⁶² He was identified later by the particular tattoos on his neck. ⁶³		
5	60. On the day of the UC Berkeley riot, Miller boasted on his social media account	t	
6	that he physically beat Trump supporters at the Milo Yiannopoulos event. ⁶⁴		
7	61. Miller posted a photo of one his incapacitated victims on his social media	ì	
8	account. ⁶⁵		
9	62. Mirabdal was also present at the Milo Yiannopoulos event. ⁶⁶		
10	63. Mirabdal is a member of the radical American, left wing, anti-Trump, non-profit	t	
11	organization funded by George Soros, ANTIFA, and carried out the assault on Plaintiff Robles at	t	
12	the direction of ANTIFA and in concert with each and every Defendant.		
13	64. After Mirabdal and several unknown assailants surrounded Plaintiff Robles	3	
14	combatively, Mirabdal shined a flashlight aggressively in Plaintiff Robles' face, blinding	5	
15	5 Plaintiff Robles and placing her in fear and apprehension of harm.		
16	65. Mirabdal further beat peaceful Milo Yiannopoulos supporters with a wooden sign	1	
17	post during the UC Berkeley riot. ⁶⁷		
18	66. Once again, no police officers from either UCPD or BPD came to assist Plaintiff	f	
19	Robles, nor to apprehend Miller, Mirabdal, or any of her attackers.		
20			
21			
22	⁶¹ Chris Sommerfeldt, <i>Violent protests at Berkeley college prompt cancellation of speech by right-wing writer</i> <i>Milo Yiannopoulos</i> , New York Daily News, February 2, 2017, available at:		
23	http://www.nydailynews.com/news/national/protests-prompt-cancellation-calif-college-wing-event-article- 1.2962069.		
24	⁶² https://twitter.com/chiIIum/status/827655032665473024 ⁶³ <i>Id</i> .		
25	⁶⁴ Ethan Ralph, FIRST ON TRR: A UC Berkeley Rioter Has Been Exposed, & He's a University Staff Member!,		
26			
27	 ⁶⁵ Id. ⁶⁶ https://pbs.twimg.com/media/C3vodrJWIAAYQ0h.jpg 		
28	⁶⁷ https://www.instagram.com/p/BQAFESPj1aM/		
	10		

1	
2	

Defendants Acted in Concert Because They Harbor Viewpoints Different from Milo **Yiannopoulos and His Supporters**

3 67. The Regents intentionally withheld the police support of UCPD and BPD-in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and severally-from pro-President 4 5 Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees at an event which it knew could likely become hostile 6 and violent, because these pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees represented 7 political beliefs that went against their own radical, leftist beliefs.

68. 8 Nicholas Dirks, the former Chancellor of UC Berkeley, has called Milo Yiannopoulos "a troll and provocateur who uses odious behavior in part to 'entertain,' but also to 9 deflect any serious engagement with ideas."68 10

69 Even now, after Plaintiff Robles was violently assaulted at the Milo Yiannopolous 11 event, the Regents again have refused to provide a "proper time and venue" for conservative 12 pundit Ann Coulter to speak at UC Berkeley.⁶⁹ 13

14 70. Ann Coulter stated on The Sean Hannity Show, "You cannot impose arbitrary and 15 harassing restrictions on the exercise of a constitutional right....None of this has to do with security."70 16

17 71. Indeed, the Regents, on information and belief, cancelled Ann Coulter's 18 scheduled speech because they disagree with her politically conservative viewpoints, in 19 furtherance of their pattern and practice of squashing free speech that they disagree with.

20 72. The Regents disingenuously offered Ann Coulter a speaking time on May 2, 21 during Dead Week, where there are no classes and students are studying for final exams.⁷¹

22 73. Here, the Regents' refused to provide police support—in concert with each and 23 every named Defendant-to the pro-Milo Yiannopoulos students and invitees who were 24 violently assaulted.

- 70 Id. ⁷¹ Id.
- 28

²⁵ ⁶⁸ Patrick May, UC Berkeley riot raises questions about free speech, The Mercury News, February 2, 2017, available at: http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/02/02/uc-berkeley-riot-raise-questions-about-free-speech/.

²⁶ ⁶⁹ UC Berkeley Students Threated to Sue Over Ann Coulter Visit, Fox News, Apr. 23, 2017, available at: http://www.foxnews.com/us/2017/04/23/uc-berkeley-students-threaten-to-sue-over-ann-coulter-visit.html 27

74. Thus, each and every Defendant worked in concert, jointly and severally, to
 organize and cultivate the riots and violent assaults that led to Plaintiff Robles injuries. The
 Regents worked in concert with the BPD and UCPD to withhold police support to the attendees
 of the Milo Yiannopoulos who were brutally attacked by ANTIFA members and violent
 protestors, including, but not limited to, Miller and Mirabdal.

- 6
- 7

8

9

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY

Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, University of California Police Department, Berkeley Police Department, and John Does 1-10

10 75. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
11 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
12 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

13 76. Defendants, in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and
14 severally, while acting under the color of state law, deprived Plaintiff Robles of her right to
15 freely exercise her freedom of speech and freedom of assembly, rights protected by federal law
16 and the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

17 77. Defendants' actions and inactions were intentional and were designed to prevent
18 individuals, including Plaintiff Robles, from freely expressing their political views and their
19 freedom of assembly, based on their pro-President Trump political beliefs and their support of
20 Milo Yiannopoulos.

78. Defendants had the resources and manpower available to provide a safe venue for
pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees during the Milo Yiannopoulos speech.
UC Berkeley, under the leadership of The Regents, has hosted countless politically-charged
rallies and protests in the past, and provided effective police presence at said rallies and protests
without incident.

26 79. Defendants—in concert with each and every named Defendant, jointly and
27 severally—willfully withheld police officers to protect pro-President Trump/pro-Milo
28 Yiannopoulos attendees at an event which it knew was to most likely become hostile and violent,

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 22 of 31

because these pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees represented political
 beliefs that went against the popular sentiment of Defendants and most UC Berkeley's
 administration and students.

4 80. Members of ANTIFA rioted and violently assaulted attendees of the Milo
5 Yiannopoulos event, including Plaintiff Robles, to prevent Plaintiff Robles and others present
6 from peacefully assembling and supporting Milo Yiannopoulos.

81. Defendants' actions, as described above, have interfered with Plaintiff' Robles'
free exercise of speech, in violation of the United States Constitution, in that Defendants' refusal
to adequately secure and monitor a known hostile campus environment for pro-President
Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees prohibits Plaintiff Robles from the free exercise of her
speech and political beliefs.

82. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions— each and every one of
them, jointly and severally acting in concert, caused Plaintiff Robles to suffer physical injuries
and emotional distress, accompanied by various physical symptoms, including, but not limited
to, sleeplessness, nervousness, and extreme anxiety.

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

<u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u> VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – EOUAL PROTECTION

Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, University of California Police Department, Berkeley Police Department, and John Does 1-10

20
83. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

23 24

84. Plaintiff Robles is a gay woman, a member of an identifiable class for equal protection purposes.

25
85. Defendants, each and every one of them, acting in concert, jointly and severally,
while acting under the color of state law, intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff Robles on
the basis of her sexual orientation and gender by withholding police protection at the Milo
Yiannopolous event, that they, at a minimum, reasonably foresaw would erupt in violence.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 23 of 31

86. Defendants chose to withhold police protection at the Milo Yiannopolous event
 because Milo Yiannopolous and a large number of his supporters, including Plaintiff Robles, are
 gay and female.

4 87. Defendants failed to enforce UC Berkeley's anti-discrimination policies to
5 prevent physical and emotional harm to Plaintiff Robles. Defendants' actions and inactions were
6 intentional and placed Plaintiff Robles in physical danger.

88. Defendants had the resources and manpower available to provide a safe venue for
pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees during the Milo Yiannopoulos speech.

9 89. UC Berkeley, under the leadership of The Regents, has hosted countless
10 politically-charged rallies and protests in the past, and provided effective police presence at said
11 rallies and protests without incident.

90. Defendants chose not to utilize their police officers to protect Plaintiff Robles at
an event which they knew was to most likely become hostile and violent, because Milo
Yiannopoulos and many of his supporters, including Plaintiff, are gay.

91. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, each and every one of
them, acting in concert, jointly and severally, Plaintiff Robles suffered physical injuries and
emotional distress, accompanied by various physical symptoms, including, but not limited to,
sleeplessness, nervousness, and extreme anxiety.

- 19
- 20

21

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley and John Does 1-10

Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

25
93. The Regents owed a duty of care to Plaintiff Robles – a UC Berkeley invitee – to
26
provide a safe place to assemble and exercise her First Amendment rights.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 24 of 31

1	94. The Regents reasonably foresaw that violence was likely to erupt at the Milo	
2	Yiannopoulos event based on the current political climate, UC Berkeley's history of violent	
3	protests, and other violent protests at similar events.	
4	95. The Regents breached their duty of care to Plaintiff Robles by withholding	
5	effective police protection for Plaintiff Robles and other attendees of UC Berkeley's event.	
6	96. The Regents breached their duty of care to Plaintiff Robles by ordering campus	
7	police in the vicinity of the attacks not to intervene and defend Plaintiff and other attendees that	
8	were being attacked.	
9	97. The Regents' negligence in ordering campus police not to intervene and defend	
10	Plaintiff Robles was a substantial factor and proximate cause of Plaintiff Robles' mental and	
11	physical injuries incurred from violent protestors beating her with flag poles and flashlights and	
12	spraying pepper spray and bear mace in her face.	
13		
14	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION GROSS NEGLIGENCE	
15	Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley and John Does 1-10	
16	98. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the	
17	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and	
18	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.	
19	99. The Regents owed a duty of care to Plaintiff Robles – a UC Berkeley invitee – to	
20	provide a safe place to assemble and exercise her First Amendment rights.	
21	100. The Regents reasonably foresaw that violence was likely to erupt at the Milo	
22	Yiannopoulos event based on the current political climate, UC Berkeley's history of violent	
23	protests, and other violent protests at similar events.	
24	101. The Regents breached their duty of care to Plaintiff Robles by consciously and	
25	maliciously withholding effective police protection for Plaintiff Robles and other attendees of	
26	UC Berkeley's event.	
27		
28		
	24	

1	102. The Regents breached their duty of care to Plaintiff Robles by consciously and	
2	maliciously ordering campus police in the vicinity of the attacks not to intervene and defend	
3	Plaintiff Robles and other attendees that were being attacked.	
4	103. The Regents' gross negligence in consciously and maliciously ordering campus	
5	police not to intervene and defend Plaintiff Robles was a substantial factor and proximate cause	
6	of Plaintiff Robles's mental and physical injuries incurred from violent protestors beating her	
7	with flag poles and flashlights and spraying pepper spray and bear mace in her face.	
8		
9	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION PREMISES LIABILITY	
10	Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley and John Does 1-10	
11	104. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the	
12	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and	
13	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.	
14	105. The Regents controlled the UC Berkeley property and were negligent in the use or	
15	maintenance of the property by failing to adequately secure and monitor a known hostile campus	
16	environment for pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees.	
17	106. Plaintiff Robles was harmed as a result. Plaintiff Robles' injuries were directly	
18	and proximately caused by the Regents' negligent conduct.	
19		
20	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
21	NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	
22	Department	
23	107. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the	
24	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and	
25 26	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.	
26 27	108. Defendants were negligent in their failure to properly maintain a safe venue for	
27 28	attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event hosted on UC Berkeley campus, when they should	
20		
	25	

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 26 of 31

1 have reasonably anticipated attacks from protestors based on the foregoing numerous factors 2 detailed in this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the violent outcome of previous Milo 3 Yiannopoulos speaking engagements.

109. Plaintiff Robles suffered severe emotional distress, and Defendants' negligence in 4 5 failing to properly maintain a safe venue for attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event was a 6 substantial factor in and directly and proximately caused Plaintiff's serious emotional distress 7 that resulted from attacks from violent protestors at the Milo Yiannopoulos event.

8 9

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS Against Defendants the Regents, University of California Police Department, Berkeley Police

10 11

Department

110. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the 12 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and 13 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length. 14

Defendants' intentional decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President 111. 15 Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event, based on the 16 pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos supporters' political beliefs, was the result of 17 intentional, extreme, and outrageous conduct that exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency. 18

112. Defendants intended to inflict severe emotional distress and mental anguish on 19 Plaintiff Robles with their decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President Trump/pro-20 Milo Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event, even though UCPD and 21 BPD witnessed firsthand rioters attacking Milo Yiannopoulos supporters. 22

23

113. Plaintiff Robles suffered severe emotional distress directly and proximately caused by Defendants' intentional decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President 24 Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event, and Defendants' 25 intentional decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President Trump/pro-Milo 26 Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event was a substantial factor in causing 27 Plaintiff Robles' emotional distress. 28

23

4

1

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Against Defendants Ian Dabney Miller, Raha Mirabdal ANTIFA, and John Does 1-10

5 114. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
6 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
7 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

8 115. Miller, Mirabdal, ANTIFA, and other assailants (to be named in discovery) placed
9 Plaintiff Robles in apprehension of an imminent harmful or offensive contact by, among other
10 things, aggressively shining a flashlight directly into her eyes so as to blind her after surrounding
11 her in a combative manner.

12 116. Plaintiff Robles did not consent to Miller's, Mirabdal's, ANTIFA's, and other
13 assailants' (to be named in discovery) conduct described in the foregoing paragraphs.

14 117. As a direct and proximate result of Miller, Mirabdal, ANTIFA, and other
assailants' (to be named in discovery) wrongful conduct, Plaintiff Robles suffered conscious
pain, suffering, severe emotional distress and the fear of imminent serious bodily injury or death,
and other mental and physical injuries.

- 18
- 19
- 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION BATTERY

Against Defendants Ian Dabney Miller, Raha Mirabdal, ANTIFA, and John Does 1-10

118. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

119. Plaintiff Robles suffered harmful, offensive bodily contact from Miller, Mirabdal, ANTIFA, and other assailants' (to be named in discovery) actions of beating Plaintiff's face and body with flag poles and flashlights and spraying her with pepper spray and bear mace, from which Plaintiff sustained injuries. Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 28 of 31

1 120. ANTIFA receives funds from umbrella companies and subsidiaries of Soros'
 2 Open Society Foundation, a network of foundations, partners, and projects that funds Soros'
 3 political interests.

4 121. Miller, Mirabdal, ANTIFA, and other assailants to be named in discovery beat
5 Plaintiff Robles' face and body with flag poles and flashlights and sprayed her with pepper spray
6 and bear mace with the intent to harm and injure her.

Plaintiff Robles did not consent to Miller's, Mirabdal's, ANTIFA's, and other
assailants' (to be named in discovery) attacks with pepper spray, bear mace, flag poles, and
flashlight on her face and body, and she was harmed and injured by Defendant's attacks.

10 123. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful attacks on Plaintiff Robles, by
11 Miller, Mirabdal, ANTIFA, and other assailants (to be named in discovery), Plaintiff suffered
12 conscious pain, suffering, severe emotional distress and the fear of imminent, serious bodily
13 injury or death, and other mental and physical injuries.

- 14
- 15

16

<u>TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Violation of State Protected Right Under Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1 – Bane Act Against All Defendants

17 124. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
 18 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
 19 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

20 125. Defendants, each and every one of them, acting in concert, jointly and severally,
 21 intentionally interfered with Plaintiff Robles' constitutionally protected right to freedom of
 22 speech and freedom of assembly by threatening and committing violent acts.

23

24

126. Plaintiff Robles reasonably believed that if she exercised her rights to freedom of speech and freedom of assembly, Defendants, each and every one of them, acting in concert, jointly and severally, would commit violence against her.

25 26

127. Defendants, each and every one of them, acting in concert, jointly and severally,
 injured Plaintiff Robles to prevent her from exercising her rights to freedom of speech and
 28

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 29 of 31

freedom of assembly and/or retaliate against Plaintiff Robles for having exercised her rights to
 freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.

3 128. Defendants, each and every one of them, acting in concert, jointly and severally,
4 threatened and committed violent acts against Plaintiff Robles because of Plaintiff Robles'
5 political affiliation, sexual orientation, and gender.

Plaintiff Robles was harmed, and Defendants, each and every one of them, acting
in concert, jointly and severally, were a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff Robles' harm.

<u>ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Violation of State Protected Right Under Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7 – Ralph Act Against Defendant ANTIFA

11 130. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
 12 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
 13 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

14 131. ANTIFA threatened, encouraged violence, and committed violent acts against
 15 Plaintiff Robles.

16 132. ANTIFA subjected Plaintiff Robles to bodily harm, namely beating her face and
 17 body with flag poles and flashlights and spraying her with pepper spray and bear mace, and
 18 threats of violence based on her political affiliation.

19 133. Plaintiff Robles was harmed and ANTIFA's conduct was a substantial factor in
20 causing Plaintiff Robles' harm.

21 22

8

9

10

23

23 24

<u>TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Against Defendants UCPD, and BPD, and John Does 1-10

134. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed 08/22/17 Page 30 of 31

1	135. As set forth previously in this Complaint, UCPD and BPD police officers sat by		
2	idly, watching as Plaintiff Robles and others were viciously attacked by "protestors" during the		
3	Milo Yiannopolous event.		
4	136. Instead of rendering assistance, nearly 100 UCPD and BPD police officers waited		
5	in the Student Union building, within eyesight of the violence happening outside, watching the		
6	"protestors" become more belligerent.		
7	137. As a result of the inaction of UCPD and BPD officers, the assailants who attacked		
8	Plaintiff Robles and others were not apprehended and Plaintiff Robles and others did not receive		
9	immediate assistance for their injuries.		
10	138. At a minimum, BPD and UCPD officers demonstrated a lack of training in how to		
11	handle "riots" like the one at the Milo Yiannopoulos event.		
12	139. Plaintiff Robles and others will suffer irreparable harm if UCPD and BPD officers		
13	do not receive adequate training on how to handle "riot" situations, like the one at the Milo		
14	Yiannopolous event, including, but not limited to, possible loss of life.		
15	140. Plaintiff Robles has no adequate remedy at law.		
16	141. UCPD and BPD would not be harmed by requiring its officers to undergo training		
17	on how to handle "riot" situations.		
18	142. Plaintiff Robles respectfully requests that this Court order preliminary and		
19	permanent injunctive relief requiring UCPD and BPD officers to undergo proper training on how		
20	to handle "riot" situations, and requiring UCPD and BPD officers to uphold their oath to serve		
21	and protect all victims, regardless of their political beliefs, sexual orientation, or gender.		
22	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
23	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Robles prays for relief and judgment against Defendants,		
24	jointly and severally, as follows:		
25	(a) For general (non-economic), special (economic), actual and compensatory		
26	damages in excess of \$3,000,000;		
27	(b) For punitive damages in excess of \$20,000,000;		
28	(c) For equitable, declaratory, and injunctive relief as the Court deems proper; and		
	30		
	Complaint		

	Case 3:17-cv-04864-MEJ Document 1 Filed	08/22/17 Page 31 of 31	
1 2	•	(d) For such other relief as the court may deem just and proper.	
3	3 DEMAND FOR JU	URY TRIAL	
4	4 Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all counts	, as to all issues so triable.	
5	5		
6	6 DATED: August 22, 2017	Respectfully submitted,	
7			
8		Larry Klayman, Esq. Freedom Watch, Inc.	
9		2020 Pennsylvania Ave N.W. #345 Washington, D.C. 20006	
10	10	Tel: (561) 558-5336 Pro Hac Vice to be Filed	
11		/s/ Michael D. Kolodzi	
12		Michael D. Kolodzi, Esq. 433 North Camden Drive, Suite 600	
13		Beverly Hills, California 90210	
14	J	Telephone: 310.279.5212 Facsimile: 866.571.6094	
15		Email: mdk@mdklawfirm.com	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
17		KIARA ROBLES	
18			
19 20			
20	20 21		
	22		
	22 23		
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
28			
	31	COMPLAINT	